The Pennsylvania State University  $5^{\text{TH}}$  Year Thesis

# **Thesis Proposal**

# Alternative Methods Analysis Revised February 16 April 6, 2010

TIMOTHY CONROY CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT MR. JIM FAUST 2175 K STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 12/15/2009





# 2175 K STREET NW, WASHINGTON DC

# PROJECT TEAM

Owner Minshall Stewart Properties Construction Manager Appian Realty Advisors Architect FOX Architects Civil Engineer Vika Structural Engineer Rathgeber/Goss Associates MEP Engineer META Engineers General Contractor James G. Davis Construction

# PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS

Building FunctionClass A Office Building<br/>Occupied RenovationBuilding Size173,551 sqft (33,691 sqft new)Number of Stories Above11 stories (8 existing, 3 new)Number of Stories Below3 stories below grade parking<br/>Construction DatesConstruction DatesFebruary '07 to March '10Project Delivery MethodCM Agent with GC<br/>Building CostBuilding Cost\$15,500,000

# ARCHITECTURE



2175 K STREET NW IS LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF K STREET AT 22ND STREET AND WASHINGTON CIRCLE. THE EIGHT-STORY STRUCTURE WAS BUILT IN 1981. CURRENTLY, IT IS 108,000 GROSS SQUARE FEET. THROUGH THE USE OF TRANSFER DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS, THE BUILDING WILL BE INCREASED IN HEIGHT BY THREE FLOORS. THIS VERTICAL ADDITION WILL INCREASE THE

EXISTING GROSS SQUARE FOOTAGE BY 37,500 SQUARE FEET.

The New 22ND and K Street façades will be a unitized glass and metal curtain wall system. A state-of-the-art solar louver system will screen the existing façade and provides passive solar shading to the New Façade; while simultaneously knitting the entire building together. A New glass corner overlooking Washington Circle spans floors 2 through 11, blending the New and the old systems together.

# STRUCTURE

The foundation consists of existing 48"x48"x24" footers, several of which underwent minor expansions to support the new loads imposed by the additional structure above. The existing building consists of cast in place concrete. Whereas the new structure is structural steel with lightweight slab on deck. Several columns within the existing building were reinforced with steel jackets or carbon fiber to support the additional loads imposed by the new steel structure.



# MECHANICAL

The mechanical system for this project consists of a main cooling tower that services a self contained unit on each floor used for the conditioning of the tenant spaces. To condition the core of the building, a closed loop with VAV's was utilized. The new floors, 9 through 11, and existing Level 8 will be controlled by a new BAS system. The existing floors, B1 through 7, will be controlled by the existing pneumatic system. As tenant floors turnover, the owner will upgrade the entire building to run off of the new BAS system.

# ELECTRICAL

The electrical service for the new construction enters at 2,000Å and is distributed on a 208Y/120Vsystem. The existing building has two 6,000Å feeds. The existing switchgear was replaced with new switchgear that has the capacity to feed panels on Levels B3 through 11. A new backup generator was installed to service the whole building.

### LIGHTING

The lighting is operated on a 120V system and uses energy efficient fluorescent lamps with electronic ballasts. The base building did not include common areas on the new floors. Lighting design and installation will be part of the tenant fit out.

### SUSTAINABILITY

This building is trying to obtain LEED EB. To help in this matter, a passive solar shading system was implemented. Another sustainable feature to this project is the use of a green roof. Such a roof is being installed on a portion of the ninth floor.



TIMOTHY CONROY Construction Management http://www.engr.psu.edu/ae/thesis/portfolios/2010/tmc5014

### **Executive Summary**

This proposal is intended to serve as an outline for the research and analyses proposed for the spring semester portion of senior thesis. The following topics have been identified based upon critical industry issues, the current state of the economy, and the diminishing properties of the atmosphere. The underlying theme for the following areas of emphasis is energy and energy usage in buildings. According to the United States Green Building Council, in the United States alone, buildings account for 72% of electricity consumption, 39% of energy use, and 38% of  $CO_2$  emissions<sup>1</sup>. This simple fact along with rising energy costs makes energy consumption a critical issue that affects all building owners.

# Analysis I – Peak Energy Shaving Backup Generator Analysis

This analysis will look at methods of reducing peak energy usage. To do this, a study will be conducted that looks into using the building's backup generator to offset the energy consumption at peak hours of the day. In other words, this analysis will look into using the existing backup generator to help level the buildings energy usage over the duration of the day.

# Analysis II - Alternative Roofing Types (Blue, Green and White) Green Roof Anlaysis

This analysis will compare the pros and cons of three different types of roofing types, which are solar (blue), vegetated (green), and cool (white). This analysis will investigate the relative benefits of each system with respect to energy and thermal characteristics. First, each system will be evaluated with respect to added load on the structure to ensure the existing building can carry the added load. With respect to energy, each roof type will be evaluated based upon the amount of energy it requires to be constructed versus how much energy it saves or, regarding the solar option, how much it generates. Additionally, each type will be evaluated based upon its thermal resistance or insulating properties.

# <u>Analysis III – Curtain Wall Redesign</u>

After receiving the necessary information required to complete this analysis, a partial analysis will be conducted. This analysis will include looking into redesigning the existing curtain wall using a system from Schuco USA in an attempt to reduce the electrical usage of the existing mechanical system by reducing the thermal gain on the building. The focus of this analysis will be altering the curtain wall and glazing primarily on the south façade. Because the information was received late, some assumptions will be made regarding the cost per square foot of the proposed system.

# Analysis IV – Energy Efficient Retrofits Smart Power Strips Analysis

This analysis will investigate the amount of electricity that can be saved by implementing an inexpensive system to manage plug loads to help reduce phantom loads. To do this, a system of managed power strips will be implemented to help reduce the amount of electricity wasted by occupant computers during off hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> USGBC. <u>http://www.usgbc.org/DisplayPage.aspx?CMSPageID=1718</u>

# Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
A. Project Background	5
B. Critical Industry Issue	6
C. Proposed Analyses	6
Analysis I – Backup Generator Analysis	8
Analysis II – Green Roof Analysis	.10
Analysis III – Curtain Wall Redesign	.13
Analysis IV – Energy Efficient Retrofits	.15
D. Expected Outcome	. 19
E. Weight Matrix	. 19
Appendix A - Breadth Studies	.21
Acoustical Analysis (Analysis I)	.22
Structural Analysis (Analysis II)	.22
Mechanical Analysis (Analysis II and III)	.22
MAE Requirement	.22
Appendix B - Spring Semester Schedule	.24

# A. Project Background

2175 K Street NW Washington DC is located in the north side of K Street at 22<sup>nd</sup> Street and Washington Circle. The eight-story structural concrete building was built in 1981. As originally constructed, it was 108,000 gross square feet. Through the use of Transfer Development Rights, the building height was increased by three floors. This vertical addition

increased the existing gross square footage by 37,500 square feet.

The new 22<sup>nd</sup> and K Street facades were designed to be a unitized glass and metal curtain wall system. In addition, a state-of-the-art solar louver system was intended to screen the exiting façade and provide passive solar shading to the new façade; while simultaneously knitting the entire building together, old and new. A glass corner feature overlooking Washington Circle spanning floors two through eleven will blend the new and old systems together. These two attempts, along with a new coat of white Tnemec Enviro-Crete paint over the exiting brick façade, will provide a unified look to the building.

As previously mentioned, the project consists of a

fully occupied eight-story building owned by Minshall Stewart Properties. The architect on this project is FOX Architects with Appian Realty Advisors serving as the construction manager. The Structural engineer was Rathgeber/Goss Associates, MEP engineer was META Engineers, and the general contractor was James G. Davis Construction Corporation.

Concerning the project schedule, the design phase began in June of 2006 and preconstruction February 2007. Construction started on 1 August 2008 and was scheduled to be substantially completed on 18 December 2009. Finally, demobilization and project closeout was scheduled to be completed on 11 March 2010.

The scope of this project was to make structural improvements to the foundation of the existing concrete structure, followed by structural upgrades to the existing columns to allow for the safe transfer of newly applied loads down into the bedrock beneath the building foundation. Next, as mentioned previously, three stories of structural steel were to be added to the top of the existing building. To top off the new structure, a new elevator machine room, mechanical penthouse, and cooling tower were to be added. The existing elevator machine room and mechanical equipment was to be decommissioned and removed from the site.



One area of concern on this project was the existing elevator bank of three elevators that needed to be modernized and extended to service the three new levels. According to the contract, the general contractor had with the owner, two of the three elevators were to remain operational throughout construction to allow for the building tenants to move vertically through the building with minor disturbance.

For more information, please refer to the following

website <u>http://www.engr.psu.edu/ae/thesis/ portfolios/2010/tmc5014</u> or clicking any of the following documents:

- Building Statistics
- <u>Technical Assignments</u>

### **B.** Critical Industry Issue

Concerning 2175 K Street, very little effort was invested into reducing the building's energy consumption. This could be considered a major flaw given the current state of the economy and rising fuel costs. According to the United States Green Building Council (USGBC), in the United States alone, buildings account for 72% of energy consumption, 39% of energy use, and 38% of  $CO_2$  emissions<sup>2</sup>.

In the following few pages, several analyses will be discussed, which all carry the theme of reducing energy consumption in buildings. These analyses will be custom tailored to the project constraints of 2175 K Street but the principles discussed within could be applied to any number of projects.

With specific reference to Analysis IV – Energy Efficient Retrofits, interviews and surveys will be utilized to determine the extent of owner and contractor knowledge regarding the technologies stated within this analysis. Based upon the finding of such research, efforts could be adjusted to enhance the market penetration of these technologies and other similar ones. There are many benefits to be realized if this were to become reality.

# C. Proposed Analyses

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> USGBC. <u>http://www.usgbc.org/DisplayPage.aspx?CMSPageID=1718</u>

To address the theme of reducing energy consumption in buildings, the following areas of interest were identified. Within each area, several key topics will be discussed. The topics are as follows; the proposed solution, the potential benefits to the building and the occupants (if any), the potential drawbacks that might make the solution less appealing, the necessary research, the methodology, any preliminary tools needed to analyze the feasibility and outcomes associated with the proposed solution, and the expected outcome of the analysis.

Each analysis will vary in terms of key features but all will be related back to overall cost and energy savings in terms of payback period. To reduce the payback period associated with each proposed solution, financial incentives will be explored. These could be incentives from the Federal Government or the State Government.

Additionally, each analysis will have a component that identifies how each of the proposed solutions will affect the project schedule, either shortening or extending the substantial completion date. Along the same lines as schedule reduction, each analysis will address value engineering and constructability review.

To see a breakdown of how effort will be distributed in each of the four areas of interest, being research, value engineering, constructability review, and schedule reduction, refer to the section "E. Weight Matrix" on page 18.

### Analysis I – Peak Energy Shaving Backup Generator Analysis

#### **Proposed Solution**

The first analysis being proposed is one that will investigate the area of peak energy saving. Currently, most if not all electricity utilities charge a premium on energy consumed at peak times during the day. To reduce the amount of electricity the building is consuming during these hours several strategies could be implemented. Some examples of such strategies are, utilizing a combined heat and power system, using the backup generator to supplement the electricity load, and others. Two additional strategies worth looking into could be ice storage and photovoltaics (PV). The ice storage system could be used to offset the energy consumed by the mechanical system when cooling the building to the desired temperature. Likewise, the PV system could offset the electricity load of the building.

Based upon the concern of increasing first time costs, this analysis will look into the benefits of utilizing the existing backup generator to decrease peak energy loads. Due to the current state of the economy, owners are increasingly wary of adding cost to their projects. Because of this concern, it was decided to propose using the backup generator that was already specified to be installed to reduce the electrical demand the building is imposing on the local grid. Another benefit to using on-site power is the loss of energy due to transmission losses is reduced. Transmission losses occur when power is transported long distances from the generation plant to the end user due to resistance in the copper lines. By shortening this distance, the system can become more efficient.

#### Benefits

There are a number of benefits to implementing any of the aforementioned strategies. The immediate benefits would be the reduction in the electricity bill for the owner. Additionally, one benefit not realized at first would be the savings associated with levelizing the electricity demand which would be a cost savings to the electric utility. A great deal of efficiency is lost when a utility has to ramp down a power plant. If more effort was invested in levelizing the electricity demand from buildings, the power plants would be able to run at optimal efficiency, thereby electricity would cost less. Additionally, the nation is starting to realize the power of the sun and other sources of renewable energy. This is why photovoltaics will be explored in the following analysis. Please refer to "Analysis II – Alternative Roofing Strategies" for more information.

Regarding the proposed analysis, using the backup generator to help offset building electricity loads, the primary benefit, as stated above, would be realized in the cost savings to the owner with respect to the operating cost due to electrical demand.

#### Drawbacks

One major area of resistance is in terms of first time cost. Concerning the opportunity of using the backup generator to offset the electricity usage of the building has no additional cost to implement and therefore will be the focus of this analysis. If needed, to supplement the beneficial results of this section, a few of the other technologies could be researched. Another facet that will need to be explored is the impact of running the backup generator in a sustained manner would have on the building and its tenants. This analysis will look into the sound and exhaust characteristics to ensure safe and practical use of this system.

#### Research

The research component of this analysis will investigate the potential health implications that result from the proposed solution. Additionally, research into financial incentives will be done to determine if there is a possibility for the owner to benefit from in an effort to make this scenario more appealing. Lastly, research will be done to determine if additional design criteria are necessary when proposing to use the backup generator in such a manner.

### Methodology

- Research drawbacks to proposed solution (occupant health)
- Calculate energy savings
- Evaluate adequacy of exhaust duct
- Identify synergies with other systems
- Evaluate schedule impact
- Perform a constructability review (sound, exhaust etc.)
- Summarize findings

### Preliminary Tools to be Used

- Microsoft Excel
- Carbon Footprint Calculator
- Project Owner, Professors, and colleagues

#### **Expected** Outcome

The expected outcomes from this analysis would include having a positive effect on the energy consumption of the building while creating a guide for other projects to use to evaluate potential energy savings. To do this successfully, occupant health will need to be a key facet of this analysis.

#### Analysis II - Alternate Roofing Types Green Roof Analysis

#### **Proposed Solution**

Another possible area of energy saving is with respect to the roofing system of the building. The standard roofing material for many years has been asphalt. The problem with asphalt is it absorbs a large amount of energy and holds it. It later dissipates it into its surroundings, the atmosphere, when the ambient temperature is less than its internal temperature. This simple result can have a profound effect on the local microclimate, also known as the heat island effect. Additionally, the standard roofing systems tend to breakdown in sunlight, which leads to periodically replacing the asphalt on the roof.

Several different roofing types have been introduced to help combat the negative characteristics of hot applied asphalt roofs or other similar types. The three that will be investigated in this analysis will be solar panels (blue), vegetated (green), and cool (white). With respect to vegetated or green roofs, two subtypes will be explored, which are extensive and intensive.

Each type will be evaluated based upon energy consumption, thermal characteristics, and imposed load on the building structure. Concerning energy, the amount of work needed to install the roofing system as well as the associated energy savings will both be factored into the results. Additionally, with respect to the solar roof, the energy generated will be included. In terms of thermal characteristics, thermal resistance, "R-Value", will be considered. Subsequently, absorption will be addressed in an effort to reduce or eliminate the heat island effect. Finally, because this project consists of adding three floors to an existing building, additional load on this structure must be a primary focus.

Because of the previously mentioned loading constraint, added load due to changing the roofing system from what it is currently to any of the above types will be the primary deciding factor that will dictate where this research goes.

#### Benefits

Each system inherently comes with associated benefits. Green or vegetated roofs can significantly reduce the amount of stormwater runoff a building needs to handle. Additionally, the system can have significant mass and therefore has thermal characteristics that make it appealing. In other words, because the system incorporates earth and vegetation onto the roof, the building is more insulated from the elements. This can have a positive effect on the mechanical system of the building.

Subsequently, solar roofs also have their benefits. One type of solar is solar thermal, which uses the suns energy to heat a medium which can then be use to heat water for use within the

building. This system would have very limited application on 2175 K Street, therefore it will not by analyzed. On the other hand, solar photovoltaic or solar PV collects the suns energy and converts it into electricity. The direct current (DC) generated can be converted to alternating current (AC) and used within the building to supplement the electricity demand. Because the solar panels cover the roof, the amount of direct sunlight that reaches the roofing membrane is reduced, which in turn reduces the thermal load.

The third type of roof that will be investigated is the cool or white roof. This system is significantly cheaper in comparison to the other two but its benefits are fewer too. The primary benefit to using this type of roof is the light color serves to reflect more of the suns energy back into the atmosphere and absorb less, thereby reducing the thermal load imposed on the building. Similarly, this roofing type serves to reduce the heat island effect in comparison to the typical asphalt roof.

#### Drawbacks

As with anything, there are drawbacks associated with each roofing type. With regards to the green roof, the primary disadvantage is sheer weight. Consequently, the structure needs to be able to support the additional weight, which isn't typically an issue if it is decided upon early in the project lifecycle when the roof can be designed to withstand the added load. In the case of 2175 K Street, this presents a problem seeing as how the new construction sits atop an existing building. Therefore, this analysis will need to determine if the existing structure can support the weight of a green roof.

Additionally, with respect to a solar roof, substantial first time cost is associated with this system. This aspect will be addressed within this analysis along with the possibility of financial incentives to offset this cost.

Lastly, cool roofs have fewer drawbacks but the associated performance of the system is substantially less than the previous two. On the other hand, in some cases, this roof type is the only feasible solution and on 2175 K Street, this might be the case. This analysis will determine which system will work best given the current conditions.

#### Research

This analysis will require research into each type of roofing system with respect to design characteristics, performance data, cost per square foot, and constructability. Because this project is located in Washington DC, weather data will need to be collected to create a baseline from which each system will be evaluated against. Additionally, as mentioned above, if any upfront costs exist, research into financial incentives will need to be conducted to help eliminate or offset these costs.

#### Methodology

- Research each system's design characteristic
- Determine net allowable load on existing structure
- Evaluate newly imposed load on structure
- Calculate potential energy savings
- Relate cost to above savings (lifecycle cost analysis)
- Calculate cost per square foot
- Evaluate schedule impact
- Conduct constructability review
- Summarize findings

#### Preliminary Tools to be Used

- Energy10
- Microsoft Excel
- Weather.com
- STADD or similar structural program
- Professors and colleagues
- Equipment Manufactures

#### Expected Outcome

This portion of the analysis was designed to determine which system, if any, could be implemented on 2175 K Street and what the impacts would be on budget, schedule, and overall value. Based upon the results of this analysis, the data collected could be applied to other similar projects to determine the feasibility of each roof type and establish an estimated cost and performance.

# <u> Analysis III – Curtain Wall Redesign</u>

Please see the update following this section.

### Proposed Solution

Another area for potential improvement with respect to energy efficiency is the curtain wall design. Most building envelopes consist of one system that covers all four elevations with minimal alterations. Some buildings take this one step further and use the same design for three elevations and use another system for the fourth. Being proposed here is to customize each elevation according to its given conditions to ensure optimal performance. The basis for this concept results from each of the four elevations receiving differing amounts of solar exposure thereby requiring each system to be designed independently.

Additionally, PV integrated will be investigated to determine the potential benefits they have on the overall performance of the building. Based upon preliminary analysis, the southern facing façade could benefit from solar PV more than the northern facing façade. On the northern façade, other systems could be used such as electrochromic tinting to reduce the amount of glare introduced into the space.

Lastly, the current design of the buildings solar louver system will be analyzed to determine the relative benefit to the overall performance of the system. This analysis will need to first create a baseline of the current conditions then evaluate the performance of the proposed solution.

### **Benefits**

The primary benefit, as with all solar PV systems, is electricity generation, which can in turn reduce the electricity demand of the building saving the owner money over the life of the system. Based upon the amount of potential surface area that could receive solar PV integration, the feasibility of the system will need to be addressed.

### <del>Drawbacks</del>

Similarly, with most new technologies, they are significantly more expensive to purchase and install. For this reason, a financial model will need to be created to determine the upfront costs of the system, relative to the current system installed at 2175 K Street, and then compared to the lifecycle cost. Additionally, the payback period will need to be determined to help the owner determine if the system is worth the investment. Additionally, as with many "green" technologies, financial incentives exist for those who are willing to do the research. Part of this analysis will be to conduct said research in an attempt to reduce the upfront cost that the owner would be facing if they should choose to implement such a system.

With respect to the currently installed solar louver system, this analysis will determine the impact this system would have on the newly proposed PV system and determine which system is more desirable on 2175 K Street.

#### **Research**

To ensure the optimal performance of the designed system, research will need to be done on possible manufactures of such technologies. Additionally, research into possible financial incentives will be necessary if there is substantial upfront cost associate with the above mentioned technologies.

#### **Methodology**

- Establish baseline of existing design
- Research performance data of PV integrated system
- Calculate the cost and savings
- Evaluate performance of new system (mechanical system impacts)
- Compare cost and savings to baseline
- Compare cost and savings of new system to current one
- Evaluate schedule impacts
- Perform constructability
- Summarize Findings

#### Preliminary Tools to be Used

- Energy10
- Professors and colleagues
- Contacts made at the 2009 PACE Roundtable
- Autodesk Revit and Ecotect

#### Expected Outcome

As addressed throughout this section, determining the overall success of this analysis would be reducing the energy consumption of the building and to add value without substantially adding cost. Additionally and possibly most importantly, improve the quality of the working environment for the building occupants and improve their productivity.

#### Updated as of 6 April 6, 2010.

On 16 February 2010, this analysis was to be removed from the final report due to unforeseen difficulties in receiving the necessary documentation in order to complete this analysis. Later, a few weeks before the final report deadline, this information was received. Therefore, a partial analysis was conducted, which includes a redesign of the curtain wall in an attempt to reduce the electrical load resulting from the mechanical system cooling the building. Because

of the limited time, only the summer months were studied and the energy savings quantified. In order to do a lifecycle cost analysis, some assumptions were made regarding the cost of the proposed system. Difficulties were encountered when the manufacturer was contacted to verify such assumptions.

#### Analysis IV – Energy Efficient Retrofits

#### **Proposed Solution**

One method of reducing energy usage within buildings is to replace inefficient systems or install efficient retrofits to existing buildings. This type of work is increasing in popularity given the current state of the economy. As with many building owners, the owner of 2175 K Street has plans to renovate the exiting portion of the building in the near future. This adds precedence to this area of analysis. These features could also be incorporated from the start of a project if considered early enough. One potential area of focus could be on the lighting system within the building. Currently the building uses standard 2' x 2', 2' x 4', and linear fluorescent light fixtures. One possibility of potential improvement could be to replace these relatively inefficient fixtures with more efficient LED fixtures. These fixtures are available in many of the standard sized fluorescent fixtures and the installation is quite similar as well, which make the use of such fixtures quite appealing.

Another area of interest is in the use of fiber optics to introduce natural daylighting into spaces where it was not possible before. Because this system would use the sun's energy, the only cost would be to purchase and install the system, there would be very little cost associated with the operation of such a system. Research will need to be done to verify these claims and to investigate the feasibility of using such a system and determine the extent of any necessary backup systems in the case of a cloudy day.

An additional are of potential energy savings could be the implementation of a system to manage plug loads. One potential solution to the problem is to install a system by Convia that can manage lighting loads and plug loads by implementing technology to assist in the goal of saving energy. A simpler route could be to purchase a smart power strips for each office that houses a computer. These power strips have the ability to kill power to designated outlets based upon the power sate of the computer. This would require the computer to be turned off, or placed in sleep mode, at night which can be programmed into the computer to do so automatically at a certain time.

Lastly, occupancy sensors can be incorporated to turn off all or a portion of the light fixtures within each office to further reduce energy consumption.

Sticking with the theme of keeping first time costs to a minimum, the focus of this analysis will be the cost savings associated with implementing a relatively inexpensive system to

manage plug loads within the building. To do this, as mentioned above, power strips with demand controlled outlets will be investigated. These power strips are new to the market and can greatly reduce phantom loads in a building. To do this, one outlet is the master, in most cases this would be a computer, and there are several controlled outlets that are turned off when the computer is powered down. This can be used to turn off monitors and other peripheral components. Additionally, to maximize the potential energy savings, utilizing the "sleep" or "hibernate" function of computers would allow it to automatically, based upon scheduled times, power down while saving the current state of the computer. This has two benefits. First, because the computer powers down, the other components will be turned off by the power strip. Second, the computer, when using said functions, saves the computer's current state to allow the user to continue work with little disruption.

#### Benefits

As addressed in the previous section, LED light fixtures are more energy efficient when compared to standard fluorescent fixtures. An additional area of cost savings is in terms of lamp replacement. The standard LED has a life that far exceeds the life of a fluorescent lamp. Another beneficial characteristic that was already mentioned is in terms of fixture configurations. Many standard fluorescent fixtures have LED fixtures of the same size. This helps to lower the complexity associated with installing these fixtures. It is expected that through research into this product, more benefits will be discovered and noted accordingly.

Similarly, fiber optics could prove to be a valuable addition to commonly accepted lighting practices. Because the source of the energy is the sun, this technology should account for a great deal of savings if a system can be installed. As mentioned previously, the only costs associated with this technology is purchasing and installing it. Additionally, there are no lamps to replace, just tendons to be cleaned. Another beneficial property of fiber optics comes in terms of transmission loss. Light travels very efficiency through fiber optic lines. With decreased transmission loss comes increased efficiency. As with LED fixtures, there are potentially more benefits that could be discovered through research.

Another key area of potential benefit regarding introducing natural light into office buildings is the health benefits for the occupants. Based upon some initial research, according to studies done by Parans, illuminating interior spaces with sunlight can increase productivity by 6 to 16%. Another way to look at this is 1% productivity increase equals the total energy cost in offices.<sup>34</sup> Similarly, property value increases significantly when the space is enhanced by introducing natural daylight. With regards to sustainability and energy, electric lighting

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> L. Edwards, P. Torcellini, (2002), A Literature Review of the effects of Natural Lighting on Building Occupants, NREL
<sup>4</sup> Journal of Property Management, (January 2000)

represents 40 to 50% of the energy consumption in commercial buildings which accounts for 25 to 30% of the emission of greenhouse gases generated by such buildings.<sup>56</sup> Consequently, incorporating this system for half of the building's lighting system can lower energy costs by 20 to 25% and reduce emissions by 10 to 15%.<sup>7</sup>

Finally, as mentioned above, this analysis will focus on the inexpensive solutions to phantom by investigating the benefits of controlled power strips.

#### Drawbacks

Based upon conversations with colleagues, the primary disadvantage associated with LED fixtures is heat. When discussing fluorescent lamps, heat is emitted from the filament within the lamp that creates the visible light. On the other hand, with LEDs the lamp is quite cool but the ballasts can get very hot. After discussing this with a panel at the 2009 PACE Roundtable held at The Pennsylvania State University, this is not the case or, at least, does not present any problems associated with installation or operation. Additionally, with most new technologies, there is a premium associated with purchasing these fixtures. Therefore, a financial analysis will need to be conducted to determine the relative payback period for these fixtures. Another potential drawback facing 2175 K Street is if the LED ballasts' require a voltage other than 208Y120.

Changing topics, one major issue with using fiber optics and relying on the sun for light comes with the existence of water, primarily in droplet form when many come together to form clouds. Clouds, when they pass in front of the sun, block the emitted light and cause variations in the amount of light output, and because of this, research will need to be conducted to determine if there is anything that has been developed to mitigate this risk. If nothing exists, it must be determined if there are any uses currently for fiber optic lighting within buildings. Another constraint linked to fiber optics is the turning radius of the tendons. In order to transmit enough light to make this system feasible, it is assumed, that there would need to be either a large number of tendons or the tendons would need to be quite thick thereby further reducing the flexibility. Again, research into this technology should help to clarify these topics as well as many others.

#### Research

As mentioned in the previous few sections, a great deal of information is needed and it will hopefully be found through research and contacting the manufactures of these systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Green Building Council, www.usgbc.ord

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Australian Commercial Building Sector Greenhouse Gas Emissions 1990–2010, Australian Green House Office
<sup>7</sup> Parans. http://www.parans.com/Products/Benefits/tabid/1080/language/en-US/Default.aspx

Research into fiber optics in terms of using it as a lighting system within a building is critical to determine if such a system is even possible and even if so, financially feasible.

### Methodology

- Contact Owner/Tennant to obtain typical computer specifications
- Determine energy usage of typical computer and other components
- Calculate energy savings and associated cost
- Create guide to explain benefits for use on other projects
- Investigate Constructability and Schedule
- Summarize Findings

# Preliminary Tools/Resources to be Used

- Building Owner and Tenants
- Product Manufacture's
- Microsoft Excel
- Adobe Photoshop (Summary Guide)

# Expected Outcome

The primary goal of this analysis is to provide factual evidence to the owner of 2175 K Street or other building owners in an attempt to persuade them to utilize this strategies in an effort to reduce energy consumption and in turn reduce electricity costs associated with plug loads. Lastly, as mentioned above, a guide will be created to summarize the findings of this analysis.

# D. Expected Outcome

As discussed within each of the four proposed analyses, the overall goal for this research is to reduce the amount of energy consumed by buildings in the form of electricity. One outcome of saving electricity is reducing operating cost or lifecycle cost. If money can be saved in one area, it allows for more money to be invested in improving other areas. Going one step further, if a building consumes less electricity, power plants won't need to burn as much fossil fuels and therefore reduce the amount of  $CO_2$  and other greenhouse gases that are released into the atmosphere. This cannot alone prevent the progression of global warming but every bit counts and the more people are aware of the ways to accomplish the goal, the more the market will develop for such technologies. There is only one Earth... we need to start taking better care of it.

# E. Weight Matrix

The purpose of the following weight matrix is to illustrate how time and effort will be distributed among the different analyses proposed in the previous sections.

Description	Research	Value Eng.	Const. Rev.	Sched. Red.	Total
Analysis I	10%	10%	5%	0%	25% 📀
Analysis II	15%	15%	15%	15%	60% 🚫
Analysis III	0%	e <u>rev</u>	<u>ised</u>	0%	0% 📀
Analysis IV	5%	5% See I	3elow <sub>5%</sub>	0%	15% 📀
Total	30% 🐼	30% 🐼	25% 🐼	15% 🚫	100% 📀
	(10 to 30%)	(10 to 30%)	(10 to 30%)	(10 to 30%)	(100%)

Description	Research	Value Eng.	Const. Rev.	Sched. Red.	Total
Analysis I	5%	5%	5%	0%	15% 🛇
Analysis II	10%	10%	10%	5%	35% 🛇
Analysis III	10%	10%	15%	5%	40% 🚫
Analysis IV	5%	5%	0%	0%	10% 🛇
Total	30% 🐼	30% 🐼	30% 🐼	10% 🔗	100% 🚫
	(10 to 30%)	(10 to 30%)	(10 to 30%)	(10 to 30%)	(100%)

Figure E.1 Work Breakdown

To help distribute effort accordingly, the time and effort was divided into several categories. Such categories are research, value engineering, constructability review, and schedule acceleration. The effort needed in these areas varies based upon the analysis at hand. With regards to Analysis I – Peak Energy Shaving, the breakdown is as follows: 5% on research, 5% of value engineering, 5% on constructability review, and 0% on schedule reduction. These percentages were based upon the anticipated amount of effort needed in each category. Concerning the schedule, if the primary means of satisfying the goal of this analysis is using the backup generator, it would have no affect on the overall project schedule.

Consequently, Analysis II – Alternative Roofing Strategies, the breakdown is as follows: 10% on research, 10% of value engineering, 10% on constructability review, and 5% on schedule reduction. Based upon preliminary investigations, this analysis is going to require more effort in comparison to Analysis I. This analysis involves potentially changing the roofing materials therefore more effort was placed upon value engineering, constructability review, and schedule reduction.

Subsequently, Analysis III Alternative Curtain Wall Glazing has the following breakdown: 10% on research, 10% of value engineering, 15% on constructability review, and 5% on schedule reduction. Because this analysis involves making changes to the curtain wall system on the building, more effort was placed on conducting a constructability review to ensure the changes are feasible and possible within the scheduled timeframe. If this is not the case, if the changes cause an increase in the schedule, an attempt to justify the added time will need to be addressed.

As discussed above, analysis III will be included in the final report and therefore, the weight distributions were adjusted accordingly.

<u>Consequently, Analysis III – Curtain Wall Redesign Analysis, the breakdown is as follows:</u> <u>10% on research, 10% of value engineering, 15% on constructability review, and 5% on</u> <u>schedule reduction.</u>

Finally, Analysis IV – Energy Efficient Retrofits, the following breakdown exists: 5% on research, 5% of value engineering, 0% on constructability review, and 0% on schedule reduction. As previously stated, the primary purpose for this analysis is to identify several applicable products that could be applied to 2175 K Street as well as other projects that have the greatest effect on the energy consumption. For this reason, research and value engineering are the primary areas of emphasis for this analysis.

These percentages are only an estimation in an attempt to evenly distribute time and effort where needed.

Appendix A - Breadth Studies

#### Acoustical Analysis (Analysis I)

Due to the proposed use of the building's backup generator, an acoustical analysis will be conducted to determine if using the generator to offset the building's electrical demand would result in an unsafe environment for the building occupants. To do this, first the adequacy of the existing construction will be determined. If this results in an undesirable sound level, a study will be done to determine what is needed to reduce the sound transmission to acceptable levels.

### Structural Analysis (Analysis II)

2175 K Street provides for a challenging arena for the application of an alternate roofing type. The proposed three types of roofs to be analyzed are solar, vegetated, and cool. Each type has a different weight per square foot associated with it. Seeing as how 2175 K Street consists of adding three floors onto an existing building, additional loads are critical. To allow for the existing structure to carry the newly imposed loads caused by the new structure, steel reinforcement or carbon fiber, depending on location, was utilized. With this in mind, any additional load imposed by an alternate roofing type would need to be calculated. To ensure the proposed solution is feasible, a structural analysis will need to be conducted.

### Mechanical Analysis (Analysis II and III)

In an attempt to reduce unwanted thermal gain and increase energy efficiency, Analysis III-II will look into customizing the building's facades-roofing system based upon their orientation the associated materials and sun exposure. The proposed solutions to this facet of the analysis is using PV integrated glazing to incorporate the benefits of a green roof in terms of reduced thermal gain. One way to determine the success of the analysis in terms of beneficial outcomes is the effect the changes have on the building's mechanical system. Therefore, the results, in terms of reduced thermal gain, will be incorporated into a redesign of the building's mechanical system.

Because analysis III will be included in the final report, in part, there will be a study of the proposed changes effects on the building's mechanical load and resulting energy usage.

#### **MAE Requirement**

The skills and knowledge attained through a number of graduate level classes will be used to enhance the quality of analysis conducted. Additionally, the classes will help to create compelling arguments of the findings of such analyses. Such classes are AE 542 – Building Enclosure Science and Design, AE 572 – Project Development and Delivery Planning, and AE 597D – Sustainable Building Methods. Concerning AE 542, the knowledge gained through this course could be applied to the curtain wall analysis proposed above. Additionally, AE 572 can be used to create more thorough financial models which will result

in more compelling results. Finally, the knowledge gained in AE 597D will serve as the basis for all of the research involving this proposal.

Appendix B - Spring Semester Schedule

						Proposed	l Thesis S		Schedule	•							
	Janu			Febr					arch					pril		:	
3 Jan 10	10 Jan 10	17 Jan 10 24 Jan 1	10 31 Jan 10	7 Feb 10	14 Feb 10	21 Feb 10	28 Feb 10	8 Mar 10	14 Mar 10	21 Mar 10	28 Mar 10	4 Apr 10	7 Apr 10	11 Apr 10	18 Apr 10	30 Apr 1	
	Revise Prop																
	·····	Research Health Fact	OIS	•	•••••••	•				•							
			Calcu	late Energy S	avings												
					Evaluate E	xhaust Duct											
							Evaluate		Schedule In	ipact							
									Perform (	Constructabili	ity Review					AB	2
	Research	n System											E		Ë	Awa	
		Determine Net All											Final Summary Reports Due		Ę	Award Jury and Senior Banquet	
-			Evaluate N	New System											ABET Evaluation		
Winter				Calculate Energy Savings				Spring						Faculty Jury	ati	ya	
ter				Relate Cost to Savings				e e					Iarj	, E	ă,	nd	
Break						Calculate	e Cost/SF	Break					R	y Ju	n d	Sen	
ak	ļ						Evaluate	ak	Schedul	e Impact	l		epo	Ψy	Q	lion	
									Perform (	Constructabili	oility Review		rts		and CPEP Update	Ba	
													Đ		<u> </u>	pu	
		Contact Owner/Tena	······										ō		pda	let	
	·	Determin	ie Energy Usage							•					te		
				Calculate E	Energy Savin												
						Create	Guide										
							Evaluate		Schedule In								
									Perform (	Constructabili	ity Review						
											Dene + D	1					
											Report Deve	elopment					

Key		Milestor
	Analysis I: Peak Energy Shaving	Milesto
	Analysis II: Alternative Roofing (Structural and Mechanical Breadths)	All r
	Analysis III: Alternative Curtain Wall Design (Mechanical Breadth)	Cont
	Analysis IV: Energy Efficient Retrofits	Milesto
	Structural Breadth	Com
	Mechanical Breadth	Finis
	-	

#### one Activity List

	Milestone #1 - 29 January2010							
)	All research complete							
	Contact Structural Engineer							
	Milestone #2 - 17 February 2010 Go/No-Go							
	Complete energy calculations on Analysis I							
	Finish evaluating newly imposed load on structure from proposed roofing system							
	Milestone #3 - 5 March 2010							
	Complete all calculations for proposed analyses							
	Milestone #4 - 24 March 2010							
	80% complete on all schedule reviews							
	50% on all constructability reviews							



Please consider the environment before printing this document. http://www.otshows.com/images/green\_earth.jpg